Letters to the Editor

"Eyes and Ears"
Your editorial commendation of the CIA ("Eyes and
Ears," Nov. 4), with its vague
reservation that this organization does raise "some philosophical questions for demo-cratic theory," seems to me but another example of The Post's fuzzy liberalism, that discreet halfway house between the secure foothills of conservatism and the unex-

plored peak of radicalism.

Any intelligence agency no matter how ineluctable its genesis or expedient its existence, is, by virtue of its secret nature a flaw in the very democratic structure it is sworn to uphold. Paradoxical, but nothing is perfect, I am told; we have enemies withman and must response to the secret of the post require consideration of. Obviously, no government is innocent. But in choosing the lesser evil, may

Herblock is recuperating from theart attack. Pending his return expects in Jan-Duffy with a year in this space-five days a week.

What happens, though, if the flaw should take over the diamond, seeking its own perfection? Does this potential exist in the CIA? Is it already in practice? Does the recent suicide of a CIA analyst testify the moral strain the CIA exerts on its workers? Is this a microcosm of the strain on

out and within, and must rechoosing the lesser evil, may
we at least not know the conditions of our choice, instead of a dim equivocation and a "warm salute" in the manner of a poet laureate's occasional verse?

Silver Spring, Md.

DOCUMENT NO. . NO CHANGE IN CLASS | CEGLASSIFIED S. ENTERNATO: TS S C AUTH: HR 70-2 DATE 28 APRE 1981 ___ REVIEWER: 029725